

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/658,230		09/08/2003	Bruce A. Hay	PC11862B	PC11862B 5355	
23913	7590	02/03/2006		EXAMINER		
PFIZER IN	_			LUKTON, DAVID		
150 EAST 4 5TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	L, NY 10	0017-5612		1654		

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,230	HAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	****
	David Lukton	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed of the communication (s) filed of the commu</li></ol>	☑ This action is non-final.  allowance except for formal materials.		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in a the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo- Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Serial No. 10/658, 230 Art Unit 1654

A restriction is imposed, as indicated below. First, however, the following two subgenera are defined:

G1: this subgenus is limited to compounds in which "W" is the following:

$$-N(R2)-CH_2-Q-CH_2-N(R4)R5$$

G2: this subgenus is limited to compounds in which "W" is the following:

$$-N(R2')-CH(R3)(CH_2)_n-N(R4')R5$$

**\*** 

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 12, 13, 15-17, 21, 25, limited to compounds of G1.
- II. Claims 1-4, 11-17, 21, 25, limited to compounds of G2.
- III. Claims 22-24, 26-27, drawn to methods of using the compounds of Group I.
- IV. Claims 22-24, 26-27, drawn to methods of using the compounds of Group II.

Claims 9 and 10 are not grouped. These claims will be joined with the elected group.

The claimed inventions are distinct.

Claim 1 has been divided into two groups, depending on the structure of "W". However, in the event that Group II is elected, and all embodiments found to be novel, the possibility

of rejoining Group I will be considered.

Inventions {I, II} and {III, IV} are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP 806.05(h)). Nevertheless, in the event that either of Groups I or II is elected, and claims therein found allowable, the corresponding method-of-use claims will be rejoined for further examination [In re Ochiai (37 USPQ2d 1127)].

Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect a disclosed specie for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. A "specie" is a specific compound, with all substituent variables accounted for.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

Serial No. 10/658,230 Art Unit 1654

U.S.C. §103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (571)272-0974. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

DAVID LUKTON PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800